# Reactivity Ratios and Copolymerization Parameters for Copolymers Incorporating n-Octadecyl Acrylate and N-n-Octadecylacrylamide

EDMUND F. JORDAN, JR., RICHARD BENNETT, ANDREA C. SHUMAN, and A. N. WRIGLEY, Eastern Utilization Research and Development Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19118

# **Synopsis**

Monomer reactivity ratios and copolymerization parameters were determined for n-octadecyl acrylate and N-n-octadecylacrylamide with several monomers not previously reported. Values of Q and e for the long-chain acrylate now agreed more closely than before with the average of values for the lower acrylate homologs. The average polarity parameter for N-n-octadecylacrylamide still showed more electron withdrawal from the double bond (e=0.42) than was expressed by the average literature value (e=-0.10) for N-n-octylacrylamide. Because penultimate effects were absent in this work, the reason for the discrepancy seems to reside in the copolymer analysis. Reactivity ratios for oleyl and octadecyl acrylate with methyl methacrylate were similar. Coefficients for the relation between overall rates of copolymerization and composition were obtained on some systems by curve fitting with a computer. They generally showed a slight minimum.

It is generally accepted that homologous series of vinyl monomers with linear side chains exhibit similar reactivity in copolymerization<sup>1</sup> and therefore have proximate Q and e parameters.<sup>2</sup> As published lists of Q and evalues reveal,3 similar reactivity is found for broad classes of vinyl monomers, including vinyl esters, ethers, 1-alkenes, n-alkyl acrylates, methacrylates, N-n-alkylacrylamides, and methacrylamides. Although especially refined techniques, involving use of labeled monomers, have demonstrated recently that small drifts in reactivity occur with side-chain length,4 these techniques have not been widely applied so far. Apparent deviations for individual vinyl homologs exist, however, in the published compilations. Discrepancies were found, for example, in the values of Q and e for n-octadecyl acrylate and N-n-octadecylacrylamide which are monomers of concern to this laboratory. Values for n-octadecyl acrylate (Q = 0.42, e =1.12),3 compared with an average value for the shorter n-alkyl acrylates  $(Q = 0.44 \pm 0.06, e = 0.67 \pm 0.32)^3$  showed a discrepancy in the polarity parameter e. Values found for N-n-octadecylacrylamide, reported from this laboratory, (Q = 0.66, e = 1.13), conflict even more seriously with

n-Octadecyl acrylate was copolymerized at 60°C with several comonomers not previously reported, both under heterogeneous conditions (styrene in tert-butanol, acrylonitrile in benzene) and homogeneously (the remainder). In addition, both the saturated  $C_{18}$  side-chain acrylate and oleyl acrylate were copolymerized with methyl methacrylate. Results, listed in Table I, show somewhat similar Q and e parameters for all, the average values now agreeing more closely with the average values for the shorter side-chain homologs. Within the deviations found in published values³ these parameters were insensitive to the effect of heterophase copolymerization and the nature of the alkyl group.

N-n-Octadecylacrylamide was copolymerized with the same two comonomers used by Bork et al.<sup>6</sup> with N-n-octylacrylamide. Values of Q (Table I) were now similar to those found by Bork, but the value of e still indicated marked electron withdrawal from the double bond. Bork found specifically with methyl methacrylate  $Q=0.17,\ e=-0.17,\$ and with styrene  $Q=0.19,\ e=-0.02.$ 

These differences could conceivably be caused by penultimate amide groups of acting to retard amide addition, the effect being more apparent in the limits of a low  $M_1/M_2$  ratio. The longer side chains in the  $C_{18}$  amide might exhibit this effect to a greater extent than the shorter-chain comonomers, although the available  $data^{1,9}$  do not lend support to this idea. Nevertheless, the data for the two amide systems, as well as those for octadecyl and oleyl acrylate with methyl methacrylate and octadecyl acrylate with acrylonitrile, were tested for penultimate effects at both extremes of the feed ratios. Methods used were those of  $Barb^{10}$  and Ham,  $together with the more recent treatments of <math>Hecht^{12}$  and together with the more recent treatments of <math>together with the more recent treatments of <math>together

The disturbingly large and unlikely<sup>14</sup>  $r_1r_2$  product  $(r_1r_2 = 1.7)$  found for the *N-n*-octadecylacrylamide–methyl methacrylate system was thought to

TABLE I Monomer Reactivity Ratios and Copolymerization Parameters for n-Octadecyl Acrylate and N-n-Octadecylaribmide with Various Monomers at  $60^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ 

62	0.79	$\begin{array}{c} 0.37 \\ 0.54 \end{array}$	0.50	1.03	$0.65$ $0.61 \pm 0.18$	0.50	$0.33$ $0.42 \pm 0.09$
0,	0.64	$0.52 \\ 0.17$	0.33	0.43	$0.27$ $0.41 \pm 0.13$	0.26	$0.29 \\ 0.28 \pm 0.02$
1.2	$0.18 \pm 0.45$ $0.31 + 0.31$	$0.34 \pm 0.06$ $0.40 \pm 0.12$	$0.48 \pm 0.12^{b}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$1.01 \pm 0.01$ Avg.	$0.44 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	$0.20 \pm 0.05$ Avg.
$r_1$	$0.44 \pm 0.07$	$0.75 \pm 0.12$ $1.61 \pm 0.34$	$2.36 \pm 0.04$	$2.23 \pm 0.01$	$0.91 \pm 0.05$	$3.85 \pm 0.17$	$1.41 \pm 0.10$
Solvent	tert-Butanol	None Benzene	z	n	None	Benzene	<b>3</b>
$ m M_2$	n-Octadecyl acrylate	"	27	Oleyl acrylate	$n ext{-}0 ext{ctadecyl}$ acrylate	N- $n$ -Octadecylacrylamide	* <b>3</b>
$M_1$	Styrene	  Acrylonitrile	$egin{aligned} \mathbf{Methyl} \\ \mathbf{meth-} \\ \mathbf{acrylate} \end{aligned}$	, , , ,	Vinylidene <sup>a</sup> chloride	Methyl meth-	acrylate Styrene <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Data of Jordan et al.<sup>1</sup>
<sup>b</sup> For the  $Q_2$ ,  $e_2$  parameter calculation,  $r_2$  was set equal to 0.42.

• For the  $Q_2$ ,  $e_2$  parameter calculation,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  were fitted by an iterative procedure to the experimental copolymer composition feed curve by assuming  $r_1r_2 = 0.99$ . Reactivity ratios by this procedure were:  $r_1 = 3.00$ ,  $r_2 = 0.33$ .

<sup>a</sup> At 80°C.

TABLE II Copolymerization of  $n ext{-Octadecyl}$  (or Oleyl) Acrylate (M2) and Various Monomers (M1)

Sdyrene         Found         Calcid         Calcid<					Analysis		Rate of copolymerization <sup>a</sup> $R_p$ , mole/kg-sec $\times$ 10 <sup>4</sup>	ymerization <sup>3</sup> -sec × 10 <sup>4</sup>
tert-Butanol 0.48 0.1500 85.52  10.11 0.1500 85.06 2.39 0.3000 84.32 5.77 0.5000 82.37 2.59 0.7500 78.97 8-259 0.7500 88.30 10.56 0.3000 88.35 10.56 0.7500 89.92 Bulk 13.76 0.0500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 13.52 0.2000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 82.77 3.75 0.2000 14.77b 9.00 0.3000 12.77b 6.49 0.4000 8.70b 7.14 0.6000 3.45b 6.70 0.7500 2.82b	Monomer	Solvent	Conversion, $\%$	$M_2$	C, %	$m_2$	Found	Calcd
10.11 0.1500 85.06 2.39 0.3000 84.32 5.77 0.5000 82.37 2.59 0.7500 78.97 Benzene 9.55 0.1500 88.09 10.56 0.3000 83.36 10.16 0.5000 83.35 10.16 0.0500 83.35 11.74 0.1500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 86.62 13.52 0.2000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 81.61 6.18 0.5000 82.77 4.87 0.1000 18.83b 6.52 0.2000 14.77b 9.00 0.3000 8.70b 7.14 0.6000 3.45b 6.70 0.7500 2.82b	Styrene	tert-Butanol	0.48	0.1500	85.52	0.2169		
2.39 0.3000 84.32 5.77 0.5000 82.37 2.59 0.7500 78.97 Benzene 9.55 0.1500 88.90 10.56 0.3000 83.35 10.16 0.5000 83.35 10.56 0.0500 83.35 11.74 0.1500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.11 13.52 0.2000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 81.61 6.18 0.5000 82.77 3.75 0.7500 80.07 4.87 0.1000 18.83b 6.52 0.2000 14.77b 9.00 0.3000 3.45b 6.49 0.4000 8.70b 7.14 0.6000 3.45b 6.70 0.7500 2.82b			10.11	0.1500	85.06	0.2392		
5.77 0.5000 82.37 2.59 0.7500 78.97 Benzene 9.55 0.1500 86.90 10.56 0.3000 83.66 10.16 0.5000 83.35 10.56 0.7500 79.92 Bulk 13.76 0.0500 89.92 14.10 0.1000 88.10 12.74 0.1500 86.62 13.52 0.2000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 81.61 6.18 0.5000 82.77 3.75 0.7500 80.07 4.87 0.1000 18.83b 6.52 0.2000 14.77b 9.00 0.3000 3.45b 6.49 0.4000 3.45b 6.70 0.7500 2.82b			2.39	0.3000	84.32	0.2783		
2.59 0.7500 78.97 Benzene 9.55 0.1500 86.90 10.56 0.3000 83.66 10.16 0.5000 83.35 10.56 0.7500 79.92 Bulk 13.76 0.0500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 12.75 0.2000 82.77 13.52 0.2000 82.77 13.52 0.2000 82.77 13.55 0.2000 18.83b 6.18 0.5000 12.77b 9.00 0.3000 12.77b 6.49 0.4000 8.70b 7.14 0.6000 3.45b 6.70 0.7500 2.82b			5.77	0.5000	82.37	0.4054		
Benzene 9.55 0.1500 86.90 10.56 0.3000 83.66 10.16 0.5000 83.35 10.56 0.7500 79.92 Bulk 13.76 0.0500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 12.74 0.1500 88.10 12.75 0.2000 84.13 10.36 0.4000 81.61 6.18 0.5000 82.77 3.75 0.7500 80.07 4.87 0.1000 18.83b 6.52 0.2000 14.77b 9.00 0.3000 12.77b 6.49 0.4000 8.70b 7.14 0.6000 3.45b 6.70 0.7500 2.82b			2.59	0.7500	78.97	0.7727		
$10.56 \qquad 0.3000 \qquad 83.66$ $10.16 \qquad 0.5000 \qquad 83.35$ $10.56 \qquad 0.7500 \qquad 79.92$ $14.10 \qquad 0.1000 \qquad 88.10$ $12.74 \qquad 0.1500 \qquad 86.62$ $13.52 \qquad 0.2000 \qquad 85.58$ $9.81 \qquad 0.3000 \qquad 84.13$ $10.36 \qquad 0.4000 \qquad 81.61$ $6.18 \qquad 0.5000 \qquad 82.77$ $3.75 \qquad 0.7500 \qquad 80.07$ $4.87 \qquad 0.1000 \qquad 18.83$ $6.52 \qquad 0.2000 \qquad 14.77$ $6.49 \qquad 0.4000 \qquad 8.70$ $7.14 \qquad 0.6000 \qquad 3.45$ $6.70 \qquad 0.7500 \qquad 2.82$	Styrene	Benzene	9.55	0.1500	86.90	0.1577		
$10.16 \qquad 0.5000 \qquad 83.35$ $10.56 \qquad 0.7500 \qquad 79.92$ $14.10 \qquad 0.0500 \qquad 89.92$ $14.10 \qquad 0.1000 \qquad 88.10$ $12.74 \qquad 0.1500 \qquad 86.62$ $13.52 \qquad 0.2000 \qquad 85.58$ $9.81 \qquad 0.3000 \qquad 84.13$ $10.36 \qquad 0.4000 \qquad 81.61$ $6.18 \qquad 0.5000 \qquad 82.77$ $3.75 \qquad 0.7500 \qquad 80.07$ $4.87 \qquad 0.1000 \qquad 18.83$ $6.52 \qquad 0.2000 \qquad 14.77$ $9.00 \qquad 0.3000 \qquad 12.77$ $6.49 \qquad 0.4000 \qquad 8.70$ $7.14 \qquad 0.6000 \qquad 3.45$ $6.70 \qquad 0.7500 \qquad 2.82$			10.56	0.3000	83.66	0.3170		
Bulk $10.56$ $0.7500$ $79.92$ Bulk $13.76$ $0.0500$ $89.92$ $14.10$ $0.1000$ $88.10$ $12.74$ $0.1500$ $86.62$ $13.52$ $0.2000$ $85.58$ $9.81$ $0.3000$ $84.13$ $10.36$ $0.4000$ $84.13$ $6.18$ $0.5000$ $82.77$ $3.75$ $0.7500$ $80.07$ $4.87$ $0.1000$ $18.83b$ $6.52$ $0.2000$ $14.77b$ $9.00$ $0.3000$ $12.77b$ $6.49$ $0.4000$ $8.70b$ $7.14$ $0.6000$ $3.45b$ $6.70$ $0.7500$ $0.7500$			10.16	0.5000	83.35	0.3366		
Bulk       13.76       0.0500       89.92         14.10       0.1000       88.10         12.74       0.1500       86.62         13.52       0.2000       85.58         9.81       0.3000       84.13         10.36       0.4000       81.61         6.18       0.5000       82.77         3.75       0.7500       80.07         4.87       0.1000       18.83b         6.52       0.2000       14.77b         9.00       0.3000       12.77b         6.49       0.4000       8.70b         7.14       0.6000       3.45b         6.70       0.7500       2.82b			10.56	0.7500	79.92	0.6424		
14.10 $0.1000$ $88.10$ $12.74$ $0.1500$ $86.62$ $13.52$ $0.2000$ $85.58$ $9.81$ $0.3000$ $84.13$ $10.36$ $0.400$ $81.61$ $6.18$ $0.500$ $82.77$ $3.75$ $0.750$ $80.07$ $4.87$ $0.100$ $18.83$ $6.52$ $0.200$ $14.77$ $9.00$ $0.300$ $12.77$ $6.49$ $0.400$ $8.70$ $7.14$ $0.600$ $3.45$ $6.70$ $0.750$ $0.282$	Styrene	Bulk	13.76	0.0500	89.95	0.0579		
12.74 $0.1500$ $86.62$ $13.52$ $0.2000$ $85.58$ $9.81$ $0.3000$ $84.13$ $10.36$ $0.4000$ $81.61$ $6.18$ $0.5000$ $82.77$ $3.75$ $0.7500$ $80.07$ $4.87$ $0.1000$ $18.83b$ $6.52$ $0.2000$ $14.77b$ $9.00$ $0.3000$ $12.77b$ $6.49$ $0.4000$ $8.70b$ $7.14$ $0.6000$ $3.45b$ $6.70$ $0.7500$ $2.82b$			14.10	0.1000	88.10	0.1138		
13.52 $0.2000$ $85.58$ $9.81$ $0.3000$ $84.13$ $10.36$ $0.4000$ $81.61$ $6.18$ $0.5000$ $82.77$ $3.75$ $0.7500$ $80.07$ $4.87$ $0.1000$ $18.83b$ $6.52$ $0.2000$ $14.77b$ $9.00$ $0.3000$ $12.77b$ $6.49$ $0.4000$ $8.70b$ $7.14$ $0.6000$ $3.45b$ $6.70$ $0.7500$ $2.82b$			12.74	0.1500	86.62	0.1689		
9.81 $0.3000$ $84.13$ $10.36$ $0.4000$ $81.61$ $6.18$ $0.5000$ $82.77$ $3.75$ $0.7500$ $80.07$ $4.87$ $0.1000$ $18.83b$ $6.52$ $0.2000$ $14.77b$ $9.00$ $0.3000$ $12.77b$ $6.49$ $0.4000$ $8.70b$ $7.14$ $0.6000$ $3.45b$ $6.70$ $0.7500$ $2.82b$			13.52	0.2000	85.58	0.2141		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			9.81	0.3000	84.13	0.2891		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			10.36	0.4000	81.61	0.4674		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			6.18	0.5000	82.77	0.3759		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3.75	0.7500	80.02	0.6243		
$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.2000 & 14.77b \\ 0.3000 & 12.77b \\ 0.4000 & 8.70b \\ 0.6000 & 3.45b \\ 0.7500 & 2.82b \end{array}$	Acrylonitrile		4.87	0.1000	$18.83^{b}$	0.0617		
$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.3000 & 12.77b \\ 0.4000 & 8.70b \\ 0.6000 & 3.45b \\ 0.7500 & 2.82b \end{array}$			6.52	0.2000	$14.77^{b}$	0.1141		
0.4000 8.70b 0.6000 3.45b 0.7500 2.82b			00.6	0.3000	$12.77^{6}$	0.1486		
0.6000 3.45b $0.7500$ 2.82b			6.49	0.4000	8.70b	0.2496		
$0.7500$ $2.82^{b}$			7.14	0.6000	$3.45^{b}$	0.5210		
			02.9	0.7500	$2.82^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.5775		

											06.0												
											3 0.94												
											0.2333												
											68.79												
											0.4021												
!	9.47	9.93	13.33	8.13	9.95	11.87	7.33	4.05	13.32	7.12	7.57	5.84	8.92	9.02	7.64	7.92	7.19	6.51	6.33	4.62	4.7	30.8	4.69
	Methyl methacrylate														Methyl methacrylate	(olevi acrylate)							

 $^{\rm a}$  Rates at 60°C calculated by using the coefficients of Table IV.  $^{\rm b}$  Values for the acrylonitrile system are % nitrogen.

TABLE III Copolymerization of N-n-Octadecylacrylamide ( $M_2$ ) and Various Monomers ( $M_1$ )

	Con- version,		Analysis	Rate of copoly- nerization $R_p$ , mole/ kg-sec $\times$ 104			
Monomer $(M_1)$	%	$\overline{M}_2$	C, %	$m_2$	Found	Calcd	
Methyl methacrylate	6.25	0.0575	60.83	0.0151	0.21	0.28	
	7.11	0.1085	61.46	0.0270	0.24	0.27	
	6.23	0.1077	61.52	0.0282	0.21	0.27	
	5.39	0.2089	63.42	0.0683	0.16	0.20	
	4.82	0.2099	63.72	0.0752	0.15	0.20	
	9.23	0.3078	65.27	0.1143	0.27	0.20	
	7.08	0.3070	65.42	0.1184	0.21	0.20	
	3.01	0.4069	66.45	0.1483	0.08	0.21	
	7.57	0.4072	67.26	0.1740	0.21	0.21	
	11.68	0.6059	70.84	0.3209	0.30	0.29	
	11.46	0.6051	70.82	0.3199	0.29	0.29	
	11.76	0.6890	72.74	0.4311	0.32	0.36	
	15.67	0.6878	72.87	0.4398			
	15.46	0.7020	73.10	0.4556	0.38	0.35	
	12.45	0.7978	74.84	0.5965			
Styrene	6.82	0.0513	90.85	0.0340	1.96	2.13	
	7.38	0.0998	89.09	0.0839	2.03	1.95	
	7.07	0.1611	89.16	0.0817	1.85	1.78	
	7.30	0.3024	86.20	0.1912	1.63	1.54	
	6.34	0.4018	84.69	0.2655	1.30	1.47	
	6.91	0.5981	82.39	0.4171	1.36	1.47	
	6.07	0.6979	80.99	0.5441	0.88	1.52	
	8.72	0.7986	79.77	0.6884	1.63	1.59	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Calculated by using coefficients of Table IV for 60°C, except for styrene (80°C).

arise from perturbations in the Fineman and Ross variables caused by accumulated analytical errors. This effect was noticed before<sup>1,5</sup> with long side-chain comonomers as  $r_1r_2$  approaches unity. Accordingly, plots of  $f_2$  versus  $M_2$  were fitted by an iterative method by setting  $r_1r_2 = 0.99$ . Values of  $r_1 = 3.00$  and  $r_2 = 0.33$  were found to give the best fit. These were used to calculate  $Q_2$  and  $Q_2$  in Table I, as well as to calculate a set of per cent carbon values for the various copolymers prepared. The calculated values were within experimental error of the per cent carbon values listed in Table III.

The reasons for the differences in  $e_2$  between this work and that of Bork et al. are still not known. They may reside in small copolymer composition differences resulting from the analytical method employed (analysis for carbon used in this work; analysis for nitrogen used by Bork et al.). The data of Bork, where  $r_1r_2$  is 0.84 instead of unity as in this work, predicts greater alternation for amides with methyl methacrylate and less with styrene ( $r_1r_2$  is 0.54 instead of 0.28 in this work). The difference in the  $r_1r_2$  products between the two works is small however. The well known un-

certainties<sup>7b</sup> in copolymer composition by the usual methods of analysis are probably responsible for the differences. It would seem that these sources of error can have an even greater effect on the isolation of penultimate group effects<sup>15</sup> and consequently may result in their presence being masked in this work.

## **Rates of Copolymerization**

Copolymerization rate data are presented in Tables II and III. Correlation of  $R_p/[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{I}]^{1/2}$  against composition enabled the drift in rate with composition to be ascertained under conditions simulating identical monomer and initiator concentrations. This correlation assumes the constancy of the quantity  $R_p/[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{I}]^{1/2}$  as the comonomer concentrations are changed at constant feed composition. The assumed constancy of this quantity is compatible with the effects of cross-termination, <sup>16</sup> which affect rates as composition changes, because overall initiation equals overall termination under the steady-state conditions prevailing. Even if the ratio were not constant, the twofold change in concentration across the range of composition employed in this work would not introduce sufficient error to affect the desired correlation. However, the use of the rate data to estimate  $\phi$  would be unwarranted in view of the uncertainties prevailing. <sup>16</sup>

Plots of  $R_p/[\mathrm{M}][\mathrm{I}]^{1/s}$  against the mole fraction of long side-chain ester or amide  $(m_b)$  were curve-fitted by computer through a fifth-degree polynomial. A computed F test revealed that the second degree was the most significant. The coefficients and intercepts are given in Table IV. The

TABLE IV
Rate-Composition Coefficients for Selected Copolymers

ı	$M_1$	${f M_2}$	$rac{R_p/[\mathrm{M}]}{[\mathrm{I}]^{1/2} imes 10^4}$	$\alpha \times 10^4$	$eta  imes 10^4$
Methyl m	ethacrylate	n-Octadecyl acrylate	2.738	-2.928	7.609
"	"	Oleyl acrylate	2.051	-0.919	0.852
"	"	N-n-Octadecyl-			
		acrylamide	1.934	-4.409	9.192
Styrene		"	4.132	-1.891	7.781

magnitude and sign of these coefficients reveal that the rate data went through a minimum for all systems and that the extrapolated homopolymerization rate for the acrylamide and acrylate ester exceeded the rate for the other comonomers. An exception was oleyl acrylate, for which degradative allylic-type transfer presumably retarded rates at high fatty ester concentrations. The constants of Table IV were used to calculate the rates given in Tables II and III for the experimental concentrations. These may be considered smoothed data for the found values.

# **Experimental**

All reagents, including the long-chain amine and alcohol and the commercial monomers, were 99% pure, usually as determined by gas-liquid chromatography.

The preparation and purification of N-n-octadecylacrylamide has been described. The n-Octadecyl acrylate was prepared by the acylation of pure n-octadecanol by essentially the same procedure. The crude ester was treated with Skellysolve B (2 ml/g) to remove salt, washed with two 10% solutions of sodium carbonate (1 ml/ml), freed of alkali, and crystallized at -20°C. Recrystallization from acetone at 0°C (3 ml/g) gave the pure ester (98.5% pure by gas-liquid chromatography) mp 31.5–32.5°C, in 45.8% yields with the correct elementary analysis.

A similar procedure was followed for the oleyl acrylate, except that the Skellysolve B was removed after alkali washing and the crude product was crystallized once from acetone (3 ml/g) at  $-62^{\circ}$ C. Purity was 94% by gas-liquid chromatography, the yield was 66%, and the correct elementary analysis was obtained. However, infrared analysis revealed that the ester was the equilibrium *cis-trans* mixture.

The purity of the starting oleyl alcohol was about 95% by gas-liquid chromatography; oleyl alcohol was predominantly in the cis form, indicating that the synthesis caused rearrangement.

The monomers were charged with analytic precision into suitably sized vessels under nitrogen and sealed. Where rates were determined, the initiator was added to the thermally equilibrated comonomers by a technique previously described.<sup>18</sup> On an assumption of linearity of the conversion-time curve, the initial rate was estimated from the polymer weight. The monomer charge for the *n*-octadecyl acrylate copolymers with styrene and aerylonitrile was 30 g, the initiator was azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) (0.2 and 0.4 mole-%, respectively), and the solvent/monomer mole ratio was 4 except for the acrylonitrile, where the ratio was 3. n-Octadecyl acrylate was polymerized with methyl methacrylate, a charge of 15 g and a benzene/monomer mole ratio of one being used, while with oleyl acrylate, a 5-g charge was used at the same solvent/monomer ratio. A 5-g charge of long-chain amide and methyl methacrylate was utilized, and the benzene/ monomer ratio was 3.91. In the amide-styrene system (15-g charge), benzene was used at a solvent/monomer ratio of 1. The initiator for these systems was AIBN (0.2%). Additional experimental data are given in Tables II and III. Analytical data were obtained at this laboratory and at a commercial laboratory and some samples were cross-checked.

The Fineman and Ross procedure <sup>19</sup> was used, and  $r_1$  was obtained as both slope and intercept. The values of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  having the least error were reported. All calculations were written as programs for an IBM 1130 computer. Regression analysis was performed by program designation VGM58, usually carried through a fifth degree polynomial and programmed to yield an F test at each polynomial degree. Error was expressed as the

95% confidence limit. Other calculations were written for insertion into a general calculation subroutine designated QREAD.

The authors thank Mrs. Ruth D. Zabarsky for the operation of the computer and Dr. Clyde L. Ogg, Miss Oksana Panasiuk, and Miss Marta T. Lukasewycz for some of the elementary analyses.

### References

- 1. E. F. Jordan, Jr., K. M. Doughty, and W. S. Port, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 4, 203 (1960).
- 2. T. Otsu, T. Ito, and M. Imoto, in *Macromolecular Chemistry*, Prague 1965 (J. Polym. Sci. C, 16), O. Wichterle and B. Sedlacek, Eds., Interscience, New York, 1967, p. 2121.
- 3. J. Brandrup and E. H. Immergut, *Polymer Handbook*, Interscience, New York, 1966, Vol. II, pp. 352-362.
  - 4. J. C. Bevington and D. O. Harris, J. Polym. Sci. B, 5, 799 (1967).
  - 5 E F. Jordan, Jr. and A. N. Wrigley, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 8, 527 (1964).
  - 6. J. F. Bork, D. P. Wyman, and L. E. Coleman, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 7, 451 (1963).
- 7. G. M. Burnett, Mechanism of Polymer Reactions, Interscience, New York, 1954, (a) p. 247; (b) p. 255.
  - 8. E. F. Jordan, Jr., J. Polym. Sci. A-1, 6, 2209 (1968).
- 9. L. H. Peebles, Jr., in *Copolymerization*, G. E. Ham, Ed., Interscience, New York, 1964, pp. 563–573.
  - 10. W. G. Barb, J. Polym. Sci., 11, 117 (1953).
  - 11. G. E. Ham, J. Polym. Sci., 14, 87 (1954).
  - 12. J. K. Hecht and N. D. Ojha, Macromolecules, 2, 94 (1969).
  - 13. A. Guyot and J. Guillot, J. Macromol. Sci.-Chem., A2, 889 (1968).
- 14. F. W. Billmeyer, Textbook of Polymer Science, Interscience, New York, 1962, p. 313.
- 15. M. Berger and I. Kuntz, J. Polym. Sci. A, 2, 1687 (1964).
- 16. P. J. Flory, *Principles of Polymer Chemistry*, Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1953, pp. 199–203.
- 17. E. F. Jordan, Jr., G. R. Riser, W. E. Parker, and A. N. Wrigley, J. Polym. Sci. A-2, 4, 975 (1966).
- E. F. Jordan, Jr., B. Artymyshyn, and A. N. Wrigley, J. Polym. Sci. A-1, 6, 575 (1968).
- 19. M. Fineman and S. D. Ross, J. Polym. Sci., 5, 259 (1950).